

(iii) A description of the methods to be used to collect the emission data, VMT data, and vehicle speed data; a description of the geographical area to which the data apply; identification of the location at which the data will be collected; and the time periods during which the data will be collected.

[37 FR 10898, May 31, 1972, as amended at 37 FR 15091, July 27, 1972; 38 FR 16568, June 22, 1973; 38 FR 33724, Dec. 6, 1973; 44 FR 27571, May 10, 1979; 51 FR 40677, Nov. 7, 1986]

§§ 52.2428—52.2432 [Reserved]

§ 52.2433 Intergovernmental cooperation.

(a) The requirements of Subpart M of this chapter are not met because the plan does not adequately identify the State and local agencies, and their responsibilities, involved in carrying out the proposed transportation control measures.

[38 FR 16569, June 22, 1973, as amended at 51 FR 40677, Nov. 7, 1986]

§§ 52.2434—52.2435 [Reserved]

§ 52.2436 Rules and regulations.

(a) [Reserved]

(b) The requirements of § 51.281 are not met with respect to Section 4.55 (b) of the Virginia regulations, because the regulation is not adequately enforceable. Therefore, Section 4.55(b) is disapproved.

[38 FR 33725, Dec. 6, 1973, as amended at 45 FR 55197, Aug. 19, 1980; 51 FR 40677, Nov. 7, 1986; 61 FR 16063, Apr. 11, 1996]

§§ 52.2437—52.2449 [Reserved]

§ 52.2450 Conditional approval.

Virginia's September 28, 1994 SIP submittal of a Consent Order and Agreement (Order) between the Department of Environmental Quality of the Commonwealth of Virginia and Philip Morris, Inc. establishing reasonably available control technology (RACT) for the Manufacturing Center located in Richmond, Virginia is conditionally approved based on certain contingencies. The condition for approval is to revise and resubmit the Order as a SIP revision within one year of September 29, 1995 according to one of the following: Eliminate the exemption to

use non-ethanol-based flavorings in lieu of add-on controls; restrict the applicability of the exemption to the use of non-VOC based flavorings; or impose monitoring and reporting requirements sufficient to determine net increases or decreases in emissions on a mass basis relative to the emissions that would have occurred using add-on controls on an average not to exceed thirty days.

[60 FR 45056, Aug. 30, 1995]

§ 52.2451 Significant deterioration of air quality.

(a) The requirements of sections 160 through 165 of the Clean Air Act are not met, since the plan does not include approvable procedures for preventing the significant deterioration of air quality.

(b) Regulations for preventing significant deterioration of air quality. The provisions of § 52.21(b) through (w) are hereby incorporated and made a part of the applicable state plan for the State of Virginia.

(c) Pursuant to 40 CFR 52.21(u) full delegation of authority for all portions of the Federal PSD program, as described in 40 CFR 52.21, was relinquished to the Commonwealth of Virginia as of June 3, 1981. All applications submitted as of that date and supporting information required pursuant to § 52.21 from sources located in the Commonwealth of Virginia shall be submitted to: Division of Compliance, State Air Pollution Control Board, Commonwealth of Virginia, Room 1116, Ninth Street Office Building, Richmond, VA 23214.

[43 FR 26410, June 19, 1978, as amended at 45 FR 52741, Aug. 7, 1980; 46 FR 33524, June 30, 1981; 47 FR 28373, June 30, 1982]

§ 52.2452 Visibility protection.

(a) The requirements of section 169A of the Clean Air Act are not met, because the plan does not include approvable procedures for protection of visibility in mandatory Class I Federal areas.

(b) Regulation for visibility monitoring. The provisions of § 52.26 are hereby incorporated and made a part of the applicable plan for the State of Virginia.

(c) *Long-term strategy.* The provisions of § 52.29 are hereby incorporated and